AERO-ALLERGEN AVOIDANCE MEASURES - EFFECTIVE OR FUTILE?
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Allergen avoidance is recommended as a first-line intervention for all individuals with allergic asthma and allergic rhinitis. However, there are practical difficulties in avoiding many allergens which limits the effectiveness of this approach.

One must therefore ask the question: Are currently available Aero-allergen avoidance measures effective?

Evidence that limiting allergen exposure will reduce morbidity and mortality from asthma is tenuous according to most national and international asthma guidelines.1 There is also very little robust evidence that allergen avoidance is effective in treating allergic rhinitis. Most controlled studies have specifically examined the effect of house-dust mite avoidance measures in people with asthma, and these study results have generally been disappointing.2

A systematic review and meta-analysis identified four studies totalling 122 subjects which examined the effect of house-dust mite avoidance in people with persistent (perennial) allergic rhinitis.3,4 The trials included were small and judged to be of poor quality. In these studies, the load of house-dust mite allergen was reduced significantly by physical and chemical means compared to controls. But what was disappointing is the fact that there was little evidence that the reduced allergen load had any clinically significant improvement in either allergic asthma or rhinitis symptoms. Although the beneficial effect of allergen avoidance in people with allergic asthma and rhinitis was considered poor, this could reflect a lack of good quality trial evidence rather than any true negative study results.4 Therefore house-dust mite allergen avoidance is still recommended as a first-line intervention strategy by most allergy and respiratory opinion leaders.

What practical advice can be given on allergen avoidance?

Unlike the agreement reached in asthma and allergic rhinitis medication protocols, the opinion leaders are in conflict when it comes to the efficacy of specific allergen-avoidance measures. This is because it is not usually possible to completely avoid aeroallergens such as house-dust mites, cat dander and pollens. However, reducing exposure may lessen the severity of symptoms and minimise the need for drug treatment of allergic rhinitis according to Dykewicz et al.5

But any benefit obtained by using a single house-dust mite intervention to improve symptoms was questionable. According to Egglestone et al.6 in 2005, efforts to obtain maximal mite elimination may lead to clinical benefits in selected highly motivated patients and clinical benefits are more likely when multiple interventions are implemented at the same time.1

But Woodcock et al.7 claimed in 2003 that interventions to control house-dust mite allergens did not appear to be a cost-effective method of treating allergic asthma, and they felt at the time that there was no clear benefit from the available house-dust mite avoidance measures.7

This concern has been echoed over the years by thoracic and allergy societies around the world, including the British Thoracic Society, which claims that the evidence for reducing allergen exposure so as to reduce morbidity and mortality in asthma is at best tenuous.

There are a variety of techniques currently available to reduce exposure to allergens: persistent allergic rhinitis is often caused by allergies to house-dust mites and animal dander, which can be reduced by cleaning techniques, using special covers, etc. There have consequently been a number of studies looking at various house-dust mite interventions (Table I). Animal allergens, particularly cat and dog allergens are potent inducers of asthma symptoms, but observational studies have not found that removing the pet from the home improves asthma symptoms. Nevertheless, many experts would still recommend as a first-line measure to remove all pets from the home of an allergic individual with asthma (Table II). However, no well-conducted trials have been done to examine whether measures to reduce cat allergen levels actually lead to clinical improvement in cat allergic rhinitis, as it takes several months for the cat allergens to disappear from a home once the cat has been removed. Therefore according to Collof et al.8 trials of brief cat removal from the home have been shown to be ineffective.

Table I. House-dust mite eradication (grade of recommendation D*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Encase mattress and pillows completely in plastic or special allergen-proof fabric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot wash bedding at 55°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace carpets with wooden floors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimise objects that accumulate dust</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove soft toys from bed as they harbour mites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove upholstered furniture and replace with leather, plastic or vinyl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of acaricides (chemical agents that kill mites) to soft furnishings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehumidification and do not dry clothing indoors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove infrequently used clothing from the bedroom</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other measures which may or may not be effective include:

- HEPA filtration systems on vacuum cleaners and air cleaners
- Damp wiping down of all bedroom surfaces
- Synthetic fill to pillows and duvets and avoiding feather fills

Remember house-dust mites need a warm humid indoor environment with plenty of human skin scales to eat!

Grade of Recommendation D = expert opinion but not subjected to systematic review.
other measures have been advocated to reduce hay fever symptoms but these are based on expert consensus rather than clinical trial data (Table IV). However, daily pollen counts are forecast and can be used to predict when hay fever is likely to be worst. The patient can then prepare for this eventuality by adjusting their daily activities (to avoid outdoor work), or by taking prophylactic antihistamines.
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